

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,890	11/12/2003	Timothy Addington	43314/270282	9642
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 10/31/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			NGUYEN, PHILLIP H	
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		E 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2191	
			4	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,890	ADDINGTON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirm will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	<u>ugust 2007</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,3-20,22-29 and 31-58 is/are pendin	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) <u>1,3-20,22 and 41-48</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-33,37-40 and 49-57</u> is/are rejected	1 .		
7) Claim(s) <u>23-29 and 34-36</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır. •		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2 Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
. *			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		
Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 8/10/2007.

2. Claims 1, 3-20, 22-29 and 31-58 are pending. Claims 1, 14, 23, 31, 37, 41, 49, 52 and 58 are independent claims.

Response to Amendment

3. Claims 2, 21 and 30 have been canceled; Claims 1, 14, 22, 23, 37 and 41 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-20, 22-29 and 31-58 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 2191

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim 41 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 22 of U.S. Patent No. 7,194,756. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because limitations in one claim can obviously be applicable in the corresponding claim.

The following table demonstrates the reason for rejection.

Application No. 10/712890	U.S. Patent No. 7,194,756
41. A method of loading software	22. A provisioning system comprising
comprising:	
Loading a host protocol file associated	A server receiving a host protocol file
with a type of host;	comprising a plurality of configuration
	commands and associated host protocols
	wherein each configuration command
	pertains to an operation capable of being
	performed in a host and each associated
	host protocol comprises protocol data for
	generating an associated host-specific
	protocol configuration command, wherein

Art Unit: 2191

the host is a device capable of processing
digital video data and is associated with a
host type indicating a manufacturer and a
host model of manufacturer,
The server receiving a host profile
comprising feature descriptors of the host
type, the server receiving a service
parameter data file associated with a
service provided on a cable network,
the server displaying to a user the feature
descriptors from the host profile,
receiving user input, and
•
process the service data, the user input,
and the host protocol file to produce at
least one host-specific protocol
configuration message; and
A memory for storing the at least one host-
specific protocol configuration message,
the memory associating the host-specific

Art Unit: 2191

protocol configuration message with a
service identifier and the host type.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1, 3-20, 22 and 41-48 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 23-29 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claims 34-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 23 recites "...wherein the host is associated with a specific host manufacturer and a model of the specific host manufacturer" is unclear to examiner. Examiner assumes that the applicant is intended to state "...wherein the host software file is associated with a ..."

Art Unit: 2191

For examining purposes, examiner assumes that wherein the host software file is associated with a specific host manufacturer and a model of the specific host manufacturer. Claims 24-29 directly or indirectly depend on claim 23 and therefore suffer the same deficiency set forth to claim 23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 31-33, 37-40 and 49-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iggulden et al. (United States Patent No.: US 6,256,378 B1).

As per claim 31:

Iggulden discloses:

receiving a host software file associated with a specific host manufacturer and a model associated with the specific host manufacturer (see at least col. 5, lines 12-24 "server 14 preferably receives data from the appliance manufacturer.
 Such data may be received periodically as new model appliances are released by the manufacturer...insuring that the appropriate information for appliance 10 is obtained by server 14 is to prompt the appliance owner to input the serial number of the appliance at computer 12")

Art Unit: 2191

determining an enhanced services system to receive the host software file (see at least col. 5, lines 12 "server 14 preferably receives data from the appliance manufacturer" – the server 14 is enhanced services system that selected to receive data from the manufacturer);

transferring the host software from the host file database to the enhanced services system (see at least *FIGS. 1-2 and texts for further expanding their features*).

Iggulden does not explicitly disclose:

- establishing a connection from the enhanced service system to a host file database;
- authenticating the enhanced services system to the host file database; and
- recording an indication of the date and time associated with the transferring of the host software to the enhanced services system.

However, official notice is taken that the use of database at the server for storing the data received from the manufacturer and the use of date and time (e.g., timestamp) for the received data by the appliance 10 are well known to the art. One would have been motivated to allow the server 14 to use a database to store data received from the manufacturer before transfer to the appliance 10 to easy organize, manage, access, etc. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art can recognize that an authentication is performed every time the server is connected to the database for security purposes. Finally, a timestamp is commonly used to record date and time to indicate when the

Art Unit: 2191

data have been received and saved to the database for many purposes such as

Page 8

updating, billing, managing, maintenance, etc.

As per claim 32:

Iggulden does not explicitly disclose:

wherein the host software comprises a host protocol file and a host profile file.

However, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to recognize that the data downloaded from the

manufacturer must also comprised data that use to configure the appliance. One would

have been motivated to include a host protocol file and a host profile file with the data

for configuring the appliance to properly operating with the new data.

As per claim 33:

Iggulden further discloses:

wherein transferring the software uses a file transfer protocol over the Internet

(see at least col. 4, lines 53 "World Wide Web" - transmit data using standard

Internet Protocol (IP)).

As per claims 37 and 58:

Iggulden further discloses:

communicating a software module associated with a brand and model of a

consumer electronics host device to a host file database (see at least col. 5, lines

Art Unit: 2191

12-24 "server 14 preferably receives data from the appliance manufacturer. Such data may be received periodically as new model appliances are released by the manufacturer...insuring that the appropriate information for appliance 10 is obtained by server 14 is to prompt the appliance owner to input the serial number of the appliance at computer 12");

Page 9

- detecting activation of a host, the host associated with the host manufacturer and further associated with a model of the host manufacturer, the host connected to the cable distribution network (see at least FIGS. 1-2 and texts for further expanding their features); and
- transmitting the software module from the server to the host (see at least FIGS.1-2 and texts for further expanding their features).

Iggulden does not explicitly disclose:

- communicating a certification indication associated with the software module to the host file database, the host file database recording the certification indication in association with the software module; and
- communicating the software module from the host file database to an enhanced services system, the enhanced services system comprising a server (see at least FIGS. 1-2 "server 14") and a database for storing the software module, the server operatively connected to a cable distribution network.

However, official notice is taken that communicating a certification indication associated with the software module to the host file database and the use of database for storing the data transferred from the manufacturer are well known to the art. One would have

Art Unit: 2191

been motivated to attach to the data a certificate to confirming the authenticity of the software manufacturer. Furthermore, the use a database to store data received from the manufacturer before transfer to the appliance 10 to easy organize, manage, access, etc.

As per claim 38:

Iggulden further discloses:

wherein the detecting activation of a host is initiated by the receipt of a message from a host transmitted in a two-way cable network (see at least FIGS. 1-2 and texts for further expanding their features).

As per claim 39:

Iggulden further discloses:

recording in a enhanced services system an indication associated with transmitting the software module from the server to the host (see at least col. 6, lines 6-7 "Indicator 110 may confirm to the user that the data has been transmitted").

As per claim 40:

Iggulden further discloses:

executing the software module on a processor in the host (It is inherent in order to fulfill the software downloading purposes).

Art Unit: 2191

As per claim 49:

Iggulden further discloses:

a data processing system transmitting a host software file (see at least col. 5, lines 13-14 "server 14 preferably receives data from the appliance manufacturer"; also further see FIGS. 1-2 and texts for further expanding their features – the manufacturer is considered as a data processing system), the data processing system comprising a database capable of receiving and storing the host software file and maintaining an association of the host software file with a host manufacturer, the database further maintaining an association of the host software file with a specific host model of the host manufacturer, the database storing a certification file associated with the host software file, the

a communication network, operatively connected to the data processing system, receiving the host software file from the data processing system and transferring the host software file to the enhanced services system (see at least *FIGS. 1-2* and texts for further expanding their features).

database associating the host software file associated with an enhanced services

Iggulden does not explicitly disclose:

system; and

- the data processing system comprising a database capable of receiving and storing the host software file and maintaining an association of the host software file with a host manufacturer, the database further maintaining an association of the host software file with a specific host model of the host manufacturer, the

database storing a certification file associated with the host software file, the database associating the host software file associated with an enhanced services system.

However, official notice is taken that the use of database for storing software, certificate about the software, and any association of the software with a specific appliance serial number are well known to the art. One would have been motivated to use a database at the appliance manufacturer for maintaining new software and any data associating the software with a specific appliance serial number plus a certificate about the software for marketing purposes.

As per claim 50:

Iggulden further discloses:

wherein the communication network comprises the Internet (see at least col. 4, line 53 "World Wide Web").

As per claim 51:

a cable distribution network operatively connected to the enhanced services system at a headend of the cable distribution network capable of receiving the host software file from the enhanced services system (see at least FIGS. 1-3 and texts for further expanding their features – the transfer device is considered as a headend device).

Art Unit: 2191

As per claim 52:

Iggulden further discloses:

- an enhanced services system operatively connected to a communication

network, receiving and storing at least one host software file in a database,

wherein the database stores at least one host address associated with the host

software file (see at least FIGS. 1-2 and texts for further expanding their

features); and

a cable distribution network having a headend, operatively connected to the

enhanced services system at the headend receiving the host file from the

enhanced services system and transmitting the host software file to a host

associated with the host address (see at least FIGS. 1-2 and texts for further

expanding their features – the transfer device 16 is considered as a headend).

Iggulden does not explicitly disclose:

the use of database.

However, official notice is taken that the use of database for storing received software

and any address of the appliance to receive the software. One would have been

motivated to use a database to store the received software and the address of the

appliance to ensure that the received software is associated with the specific appliance

for distributing purposes.

As per claim 53:

Iggulden further discloses:

Art Unit: 2191

- wherein the database further stores a host type associated with the host software file, the host type comprising a host manufacturer identifier and a model identifier of the host manufacturer (see at least col. 5, lines 20-26 "... since the serial number 14 for use in subsequent programming of the same appliance..." – serial number 14 is considered as manufacturer/model id).

As per claim 54:

Iggulden further discloses:

 a host connected to the cable distribution network, the host receiving the host software file (see at least FIGS. 1-2 and texts for further expanding their features).

As per claim 55:

Iggulden further discloses:

- wherein the cable distribution network supports two-way communication (see at least col. 5, lines2-3 "two way communications are most conveniently established...").

As per claim 56:

Iggulden further discloses:

- wherein the cable distribution network is a one-way communication network (see at least col. 4, line 65 "one way communication").

Art Unit: 2191

As per claim 57:

Iggulden further discloses:

wherein the database further stores an indication of the host software file
 transmitted to the host (see at least col. 6, lines 6-7 "Indicator 110 may confirm
 to the user hat the data has been transmitted").

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 270-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10:00 AM - 3:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Y. Zhen can be reached on (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2191

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PN 10/16/2007

> WEI ZHE'N SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER